

The Psychology of Collecting: Why Do We Keep Things?

Collecting and keeping objects is not just about accumulation—it reflects deep psychological processes tied to memory, identity, emotions, and social life.

Objects help preserve memories and personal history.

People often keep items because they serve as physical reminders of important people, places, and life events. A simple object—such as a photograph, souvenir, letter, gift, ticket, childhood toy, or piece of clothing—can trigger autobiographical memories and help individuals reconnect with moments from their past. These objects often carry emotional meaning that goes far beyond their practical or monetary value.

In this sense, possessions can act as “containers” of memory. They do not literally store memories, but they help people access, organize, and preserve them. For example, a concert ticket may remind someone not only of the event itself, but also of who they were with, how they felt, what stage of life they were in, and why that moment mattered. Similarly, an inherited object may connect a person to family history, cultural background, or a loved one who is no longer present.

This helps explain why people often feel attached to objects that may seem ordinary to others. The emotional value of an item is usually private and personal. An old mug, a handwritten note, or a worn-out sweater may look insignificant from the outside, but for the owner it can represent comfort, love, achievement, grief, or belonging. The object becomes meaningful because it is connected to a story.

Keeping such objects also helps people maintain a sense of continuity over time. Human identity is built partly through memory: we understand who we are by remembering where we have been, what we have experienced, and who has shaped us. Possessions can support this process by making the past visible and tangible. They allow people to display parts of their life story, revisit important experiences, and feel connected to earlier versions of themselves.

This is especially important during major life transitions, such as moving homes, losing someone, ending a relationship, becoming a parent, or aging. During these periods, objects can provide emotional stability. They help people hold on to familiar parts of their identity when other parts of life are changing. A keepsake may offer comfort because it reminds the person that their past still matters and remains part of them.

However, this memory function can also make it difficult to discard possessions. Throwing away an object may feel like letting go of the memory, the person, or the period of life connected to it. Even when people know intellectually that the memory will remain, emotionally the object may feel like a bridge to the past. As a result, discarding it can create guilt, sadness, anxiety, or fear of forgetting.

Overall, research suggests that people keep objects because possessions help preserve, embody, display, and recall meaningful memories. Objects become part of personal history because they give physical form to experiences that might otherwise feel distant or fragile. In this way, keeping things is not simply about material attachment; it is also about protecting memory, identity, and emotional connection (van den Hoven et al., 2020).

Possessions are extensions of identity.

People do not just own things—they use them to express, construct, and understand who they are. Many objects carry symbolic meaning that reflects personal values, tastes, experiences, and social roles. For example, the books someone keeps, the clothes they wear, the music they collect, or even the way they decorate their home can all communicate aspects of their identity to themselves and to others.

From a psychological perspective, this happens because individuals incorporate certain possessions into their self-concept. Instead of seeing objects as separate, they begin to experience them as part of “me” or “mine” in a deeper sense. Research shows that some people explicitly describe their belongings as extensions of themselves, saying things like “this represents who I am” or “this tells my story” (Kings et al., 2018). In this way, possessions become physical expressions of identity, turning abstract traits—such as creativity, nostalgia, independence, or belonging—into something visible and tangible.

Objects can also represent different layers of identity. Some items reflect personal identity, such as hobbies, interests, or life goals. Others reflect social identity, such as membership in a group, profession, or culture. For instance, a sports jersey may signal affiliation with a team, while professional tools may represent competence and career identity. Cultural or inherited objects may connect individuals to family traditions, ethnicity, or collective history. Through these different functions, possessions help people locate themselves within both personal and social worlds.

Importantly, possessions do not just reflect identity—they actively help shape it. The process of acquiring, organizing, and displaying objects allows individuals to experiment with and reinforce how they see themselves. Collectors, in particular, often develop a strong “collector identity,” where the act of collecting becomes central to their sense of self (Spaid, 2018). Over time, the collection itself can become a narrative of who the person is, what they value, and how they want to be perceived.

Possessions can also support identity continuity. As people change over time, objects can serve as anchors that connect past, present, and future selves. Keeping items from different life stages—such as childhood objects, academic achievements, or travel souvenirs—helps maintain a coherent life story. These objects remind individuals of who they have been and provide a sense of stability, especially during periods of transition or uncertainty.

At the same time, this deep connection between possessions and identity can make it difficult to let go of certain items. Discarding an object may feel like losing part of oneself, particularly if

the item represents an important role, relationship, or life phase. For example, letting go of old work materials after a career change or personal items after a breakup can feel emotionally challenging because it involves redefining identity.

In more extreme cases, such as hoarding, possessions may become overly central to identity, where individuals rely heavily on objects to define themselves or feel secure. However, even in everyday situations, the link between possessions and identity is a normal and widespread human experience.

Overall, possessions function as extensions of identity because they help people express who they are, construct meaning in their lives, and maintain a sense of continuity over time. Rather than being neutral objects, they become deeply embedded in the psychological fabric of the self (Kings et al., 2018; Adams, 2023).

Collecting helps regulate emotions and provide comfort.

People often keep or collect objects because those objects make them feel calm, safe, grounded, or emotionally supported. In many cases, possessions are not valued only for their practical use or financial worth; they are valued because of how they make a person feel. A familiar object can provide reassurance during stress, loneliness, grief, or uncertainty.

From a psychological perspective, objects can become tools for emotional regulation. This means they help people manage difficult feelings. For example, someone may keep childhood objects because they create a sense of safety. Another person may collect books, records, figurines, or photographs because the collection brings joy, structure, and a feeling of control. When life feels unpredictable, a collection can feel stable and reliable. The objects remain present, familiar, and emotionally available.

Collecting can also create positive emotions. Searching for, finding, organizing, and displaying items can produce excitement, pride, satisfaction, and pleasure. For many collectors, the process is just as meaningful as the objects themselves. The “hunt” for a missing item can give a sense of purpose, while completing a set can create accomplishment. These emotional rewards help explain why collecting can become a deeply satisfying hobby.

Possessions may also provide comfort because they are linked to relationships. Gifts, inherited items, souvenirs, and shared objects can remind people of loved ones. Holding or seeing these objects may reduce feelings of distance or loss. In this way, objects can act as emotional bridges between people, especially when the relationship has changed, ended, or become physically distant.

Research on object attachment and hoarding shows that people may rely on possessions to manage anxiety, distress, and insecurity. Emotional attachment to objects is associated with beliefs that possessions are important for well-being, safety, and emotional stability (Phung et al., 2015). Similarly, studies suggest that objects can provide comfort because they are connected to identity, autobiographical memory, and feelings of security (Yap & Grisham, 2019).

However, emotional comfort can become problematic when a person feels unable to discard objects, even when they create clutter, distress, or interfere with daily life. In healthy collecting, objects usually bring pleasure, organization, and meaning. In problematic hoarding, objects may become necessary for emotional survival, and letting go of them may produce intense anxiety, guilt, or fear. The difference is not simply the number of objects, but the level of distress and loss of control involved.

Overall, collecting helps regulate emotions because objects can offer comfort, stability, pleasure, and connection. They give people something tangible to hold onto when emotions feel difficult or uncertain. For many individuals, collecting is not just a material habit; it is a way of caring for the self, preserving emotional balance, and creating a personal space of meaning and security (Phung et al., 2015; Yap & Grisham, 2019).

Letting go of objects can feel like losing part of oneself or the past.

This experience is rooted in the deep psychological connections people form with their possessions over time. When an object becomes associated with important memories, relationships, or life stages, it can take on symbolic meaning that goes far beyond its physical form. As a result, discarding that object may feel less like getting rid of a thing and more like losing access to a part of one's identity or personal history.

Many objects function as anchors to specific moments in life. A gift from a loved one may represent a meaningful relationship; a souvenir may embody a significant experience; an old notebook or piece of clothing may reflect a previous version of the self. These items help people remember not just events, but also emotions, roles, and personal growth. When individuals consider letting go of such objects, they may worry that the memories attached to them will fade or become less vivid. Even if this is not objectively true, the emotional perception can be powerful.

This sense of loss is closely tied to how people construct their identity over time. Identity is not fixed; it is built through a continuous narrative that links past, present, and future. Possessions often play a role in maintaining that narrative by providing tangible evidence of who someone has been. Removing these objects can feel like disrupting that continuity. For example, discarding items from childhood, a past relationship, or a former career may bring up feelings of nostalgia, grief, or uncertainty about how to integrate those past experiences into one's current sense of self.

Emotional attachment to objects can also be connected to relationships with others. Many possessions are reminders of people—family members, friends, or partners—and serve as symbolic representations of those connections. Letting go of such objects may feel like distancing oneself from those relationships, even if they are no longer active. In cases of loss or bereavement, this can be especially intense, as objects may become one of the few remaining links to someone who is gone.

Research on attachment to possessions shows that people often resist discarding items because they associate them with memory, security, and meaning. Some individuals report that throwing things away feels like erasing part of their life story or failing to respect the significance of past experiences (Cherrier & Ponnor, 2010). This helps explain why people may keep objects “just in case,” even when they no longer serve a practical purpose.

There is also an element of anticipated regret. People may fear that if they discard an object, they will later wish they had kept it—either because they might need it, or because they might want to revisit the memory it represents. This fear can make decision-making difficult and lead to postponing or avoiding decluttering altogether.

At a deeper level, letting go of objects can require confronting change. It often means acknowledging that a period of life has ended, that a relationship has changed, or that one’s identity has evolved. This process can be emotionally challenging, but it is also a normal part of psychological growth. While objects can support continuity, learning to let go of some possessions can help individuals redefine themselves and make space for new experiences.

Overall, the difficulty of discarding objects reflects the powerful role possessions play in memory, identity, and emotional life. Letting go can feel like losing a piece of oneself or one’s past because, in a symbolic sense, those objects have become part of how a person understands who they are and where they come from (Cherrier & Ponnor, 2010).

Attachment styles and early experiences influence collecting behavior.

The way people relate to objects is often shaped by how they learned to relate to other people—especially during childhood. In psychology, attachment theory suggests that early relationships with caregivers form patterns of emotional bonding that continue into adulthood. These patterns influence how individuals seek comfort, handle insecurity, and form connections—not only with people, but sometimes with possessions as well.

Individuals with **secure attachment**—those who experienced consistent care and emotional support—tend to have a more balanced relationship with objects. They may value possessions and keep meaningful items, but they are generally able to let go of things without excessive distress. Their sense of identity and security is less dependent on material objects because it is grounded in stable interpersonal experiences.

In contrast, individuals with **insecure attachment styles** (such as anxious or avoidant attachment) may be more likely to form strong emotional bonds with possessions. For example, people with anxious attachment—who may fear abandonment or inconsistency in relationships—might use objects as a source of comfort and reassurance. Possessions can become substitutes for emotional security, offering a sense of stability that they may not consistently experience in relationships. Research shows that attachment anxiety is associated with stronger hoarding tendencies, partly because objects are imbued with emotional significance and perceived as essential for well-being (Liu et al., 2022).

Similarly, individuals with avoidant attachment—who may feel uncomfortable relying on others—might turn to objects as safer, more controllable sources of comfort. Unlike people, objects do not reject, judge, or leave. This predictability can make them especially appealing as emotional anchors. In both cases, possessions can serve as a compensatory mechanism, helping individuals regulate emotions and maintain a sense of control.

Early life experiences also play a crucial role. Experiences such as loss, instability, neglect, trauma, or frequent transitions (e.g., moving homes, changing caregivers) can increase emotional reliance on objects. When interpersonal relationships feel uncertain or unreliable, objects may become more important because they are stable and enduring. Studies suggest that people with challenging early experiences may use possessions as “touchstones” of identity and self-confidence, helping them cope with feelings of uncertainty or vulnerability (Kings et al., 2018).

Another important factor is **anthropomorphism**, or the tendency to attribute human-like qualities to objects. Research indicates that individuals with insecure attachment may be more likely to treat possessions as if they have emotions or intentions, strengthening the emotional bond and making it harder to discard them (Liu et al., 2022). Objects may be seen not just as reminders, but almost as companions.

In some cases, collecting or hoarding behaviors may also be linked to difficulties in emotional regulation. When individuals struggle to manage anxiety, distress, or negative emotions, they may rely more heavily on possessions to cope. Objects can provide a sense of comfort, identity, and continuity, especially when internal emotional resources feel insufficient (Phung et al., 2015).

It is important to note that these patterns exist on a spectrum. Most people form some level of emotional attachment to possessions, and this is a normal part of human psychology. However, when attachment to objects becomes the primary way of coping with emotional needs, it can lead to excessive accumulation or difficulty discarding items.

Overall, attachment styles and early experiences shape how people use possessions to meet emotional needs. For some, objects are simply meaningful reminders; for others, they become essential sources of comfort, identity, and security. Understanding this connection helps explain why collecting behavior can vary so widely—from casual and enjoyable to deeply emotional and difficult to control (Liu et al., 2022; Kings et al., 2018; Phung et al., 2015).

Collecting is tied to identity and self-construction.

People do not simply gather objects at random; they select, organize, and maintain collections in ways that reflect how they see themselves and how they want to be seen. Over time, the act of collecting becomes part of a broader process of building a coherent sense of self. The collection itself can function as a narrative—a structured representation of personal interests, values, experiences, and aspirations.

One key idea in research is that individuals develop a **“collector identity.”** This means that collecting is not just something they do, but something they are. The more time, effort, and meaning invested in a collection, the more it becomes integrated into self-concept. For example, someone may not just own records but identify as a “record collector,” or not just buy comics but see themselves as part of a community of enthusiasts. This identity is reinforced through repeated behaviors such as searching for items, categorizing them, displaying them, and talking about them with others (Spaid, 2018).

Collecting also allows individuals to express uniqueness and individuality. By curating a specific set of objects—whether rare items, themed collections, or personally meaningful artifacts—people differentiate themselves from others. The collection becomes a reflection of personal taste and expertise. In this sense, collecting can serve as a creative and expressive activity, where individuals actively construct meaning through their choices.

At the same time, collecting connects people to broader social and cultural identities. Many collections are tied to communities, such as fandoms, hobby groups, or professional circles. Participating in these communities reinforces identity by providing shared norms, values, and recognition. For instance, collectors may gain status or validation within a group based on the rarity, completeness, or uniqueness of their collection. This social dimension shows that identity construction through collecting is not only internal but also relational—it depends on how others perceive and respond to the collection.

Another important aspect is that collecting helps organize life experiences into a coherent structure. Collections often follow themes, categories, or systems, which can mirror how individuals mentally organize their world. By building and maintaining a collection, people impose order, meaning, and continuity on their experiences. This can be especially valuable in a complex or rapidly changing environment, where identity might otherwise feel fragmented.

Collecting can also support identity development across the lifespan. As people move through different stages of life, their collections may evolve, expand, or change direction. New items may reflect new interests or roles, while older items preserve connections to earlier phases of life. In this way, collections become dynamic records of personal growth. They allow individuals to integrate past and present selves into a continuous identity.

However, when collecting becomes overly central to identity, it can create challenges. If a person’s sense of self depends too heavily on their possessions, changes to the collection—such as loss, damage, or the need to discard items—can feel threatening. This is one way in which normal collecting can shift toward problematic patterns, where objects are no longer just expressions of identity but essential supports for it.

Overall, collecting is closely tied to identity and self-construction because it allows individuals to express who they are, connect with others, and create a structured narrative of their lives. Through the selection and meaning-making of objects, people actively build and maintain their sense of self over time (Spaid, 2018).

Social and cultural factors shape collecting.

Although collecting often feels like a personal hobby or individual preference, it is deeply influenced by the social environment and cultural context in which a person lives. What people choose to collect, how they value objects, and even whether collecting is encouraged or discouraged are all shaped by broader societal norms, economic systems, and shared meanings attached to material goods.

One important influence is **consumer culture**. In modern societies, especially in the West, acquiring and owning objects is normalized and often encouraged. Shopping is not only a practical activity but also a form of leisure, self-expression, and identity-building. Within this context, collecting can be seen as an extension of everyday consumption. Research suggests that behaviors associated with collecting—such as acquiring, organizing, and retaining objects—are embedded in a wider cultural system that promotes ownership and material accumulation (Shaeffer, 2017). This means that collecting is not just an individual psychological behavior but also a socially reinforced practice.

Social norms also play a role in defining what counts as a “valuable” or “worthy” collection. Certain objects—such as art, antiques, rare items, or limited editions—are culturally framed as desirable, prestigious, or meaningful. These shared values influence what people choose to collect and how they interpret their collections. In some cases, collecting becomes a way to signal status, expertise, or taste. Owning rare or culturally valued items can bring recognition, admiration, or a sense of belonging to a particular social group.

Another key factor is the role of **communities and social interaction**. Many collectors are part of communities—either in person or online—where they share knowledge, trade items, and discuss their collections. These communities help establish norms, standards, and expectations around collecting. For example, what counts as a “complete set,” what items are considered rare, and how collections should be displayed are often defined collectively rather than individually. Research shows that social collecting behaviors can influence individual decisions, reinforcing motivations and shaping the overall collecting experience (Vergara & Santos, 2023).

Social influence can also create pressure. In some cases, people collect not only for personal enjoyment but also to gain acceptance, recognition, or status within a group. Trends, peer behavior, and online visibility can intensify this dynamic. For instance, limited-edition products or “exclusive” items may become highly desirable because others value them, leading to competitive or status-driven collecting. This shows how social comparison and group dynamics can shape collecting behavior.

Cultural values further influence how people relate to objects. In some cultures, possessions are closely tied to family heritage, tradition, and continuity across generations. Collecting may involve preserving cultural artifacts, heirlooms, or items of historical significance. In other contexts, collecting may be more focused on individuality, novelty, or personal expression. These differences highlight that collecting is not a universal behavior with a single meaning; it varies depending on cultural frameworks and social expectations.

Additionally, broader historical and economic factors play a role. The rise of mass production, global markets, and digital platforms has expanded access to collectible items and created new forms of collecting, such as digital collections or online communities. These changes reshape how people engage with objects and with each other, making collecting more accessible but also more socially visible and interconnected.

Overall, collecting is shaped not only by internal motivations but also by external influences. Social norms, cultural meanings, community dynamics, and economic systems all contribute to why and how people collect. Understanding these factors reveals that collecting is not just a private activity—it is a socially and culturally embedded practice that reflects the values and structures of the society in which it occurs (Shaeffer, 2017; Vergara & Santos, 2023).

At extreme levels, collecting becomes hoarding.

While collecting is generally a normal and often rewarding activity, it can develop into a problematic pattern when the accumulation of objects becomes excessive, difficult to control, and harmful to daily functioning. The key difference is not simply the number of items a person owns, but the relationship they have with those items and the impact on their life.

In healthy collecting, individuals typically maintain a sense of organization, purpose, and enjoyment. Collections are often structured, intentional, and limited to specific categories. People can usually decide what to keep and what to discard without significant distress. The objects bring pleasure, meaning, or satisfaction, and they do not interfere with living spaces or daily responsibilities.

In contrast, hoarding is characterized by a persistent difficulty discarding possessions, regardless of their actual value. Individuals with hoarding tendencies often feel a strong emotional attachment to a wide range of items, including those that others might consider useless or replaceable. This attachment can lead to excessive accumulation, resulting in cluttered living spaces that are difficult or even unsafe to use.

One of the central psychological features of hoarding is **distress associated with letting go**. People may experience intense anxiety, guilt, or fear when faced with the idea of discarding objects. These feelings are often linked to beliefs such as “I might need this in the future,” “This object is part of who I am,” or “Throwing this away would be wasteful or disrespectful.” As a result, decisions about discarding are postponed or avoided, and items continue to accumulate over time.

Hoarding is also associated with **cognitive and emotional processes** that differ from typical collecting. Research suggests that individuals may struggle with decision-making, categorization, and organization, making it harder to sort and manage possessions effectively. Emotional factors—such as anxiety, depression, and difficulty regulating feelings—also play a significant role. Objects may be used as a way to cope with distress, provide comfort, or create a sense of control (Tolin, 2011).

Another important aspect is that hoarding often disrupts daily life. Clutter may limit the use of essential spaces such as kitchens, bedrooms, or bathrooms. It can affect relationships, as family members or roommates may feel overwhelmed or frustrated. In more severe cases, hoarding can pose health and safety risks, including fire hazards or unsanitary conditions.

Despite these challenges, it is important to understand that hoarding is not simply a matter of laziness or lack of discipline. It is recognized as a complex psychological condition influenced by emotional attachment, cognitive patterns, and life experiences. Many individuals who hoard are aware of the difficulties their behavior creates but feel unable to change it without support.

Overall, collecting becomes hoarding when the behavior shifts from controlled and meaningful accumulation to uncontrollable retention that causes distress or impairment. The underlying psychological functions—such as emotional comfort, identity, and memory—are still present, but they become intensified and less flexible. Understanding this continuum helps explain how a common human behavior can, in some cases, develop into a serious mental health concern (Tolin, 2011).

References

- Adams, I. (2023). *Collecting as identity creation and memory preservation*. *Journal of Intersectionality*.
- Cherrier, H., & Ponnor, T. (2010). A study of hoarding behavior and attachment to material possessions. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 13, 8–23.
- Kings, C., Knight, T., & Moulding, R. (2018). Using photo-elicitation and interpretative phenomenological analysis to explore possessions as links to self-concept and the identities of others in hoarding disorder. *Psychology and Psychotherapy*.
- Liu, X., Cai, Y., Tao, Y., Hou, W., Niu, H., Liu, X., Xie, T., & Li, Y. (2022). Association between attachment and hoarding behavior: Mediation of anthropomorphism and moderation of hoarding beliefs among Chinese adolescents. *PsyCh Journal*.
- Phung, P. J., Moulding, R., Taylor, J. K., & Nedeljkovic, M. (2015). Emotional regulation, attachment to possessions and hoarding symptoms. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 56(5), 573–581.
- Rofiah, C., & Arrahima, I. (2025). FOMO phenomenon: Cyber-phenomenological exploration in the Labubu limited edition product collector community. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*.
- Shaeffer, M. (2017). The social context of hoarding behavior: Building a foundation for sociological study. *Sociology Compass*, 11.

Spaid, B. I. (2018). Exploring consumer collecting behavior: A conceptual model and research agenda. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 35, 653–662.

Skirrow, P., Jackson, P., Perry, E., & Hare, D. (2015). I collect therefore I am—Autonoetic consciousness and hoarding in Asperger syndrome. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 22(3), 278–284.

Tolin, D. (2011). Understanding and treating hoarding: A biopsychosocial perspective. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(5), 517–526.

van den Hoven, E., Orth, D., & Zijlema, A. F. (2020). Possessions and memories. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 39, 94–99.

Vergara, R., & Santos, J. E. (2023). Understanding the collecting experience: Purchase motivations and social collecting behaviors. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research*.

Yap, K., & Grisham, J. (2019). Unpacking the construct of emotional attachment to objects and its association with hoarding symptoms. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 8, 249–258.